BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 318 of 2013 And Review Application No. 36 of 2016 (M.A. No. 1450 of 2016 & 04 of 2017) Original Application No. 318 of 2013

Rajendra Singh Bhandari Vs. State of U.K. & Ors.

Rajendra Singh Bhandari Vs. State of Uttarakhand & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. AJAY A DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Respondents:

Mr. Anirudh Joshi Adv. for Applicant in Original Application No. No. 318/2013

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Das Adv. for UT of Lakshadweep Administration.

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv. for State of Uttar Pradesh.

Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kr. Sinha,

Advs.

Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv. alongwith Ms. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli. ADR, for State of West Bengal. Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv. for State of Uttrakhand.

Mr. Amit Agarwal, Adv. for West Bengal Pollution Control Board.

Ms. Alpana Poddar, Adv. for Central Pollution Control Board .

Mrs. Divya Prakash Pandey, Adv. for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, Adv. alongwith

Mr. Prashant Mathur, Adv. for State of AP.

Mr. Aruna Mathur, Standing counsel alongwith Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Mr. Anuradha Arputham, and Mr. Amit Aroa Adv. for State of Sikkim.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv., Ms. Varsha Poddr, Adv. for State of Tripura.

Ms. Seema Sharma, DAG State of Himachal Pradesh alongwith Mr. D.K. Thakur, AAG, State of Himachal Pradesh.

Mr. Shubham Bhalla, Adv. and Mr. Vidushi Khatari, Advs. for Chandigarh Environment Department.

Mr. Rajul Shrivastan, Adv. for MPPCB. Mr. Pinaki Misra, Sr. Adv. alongwith Mr. Mukesh Verma Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha for Govt. of Maharashtra

Mr. Arajita Mukherjee, Adv. for State of Meghalaya.

Mr. Upama Bhattacharjee and Keith Verghese for Adv. Mr. Sanjay Upadhayay, for State of Rajasthan.

Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv. for Kerla State

Pollution Control Board

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv. for State of Kerala

Mr. Sarthak Chaturvedi and Mr. Subham

Jaiswal, Advs. for Andaman and Nicobar Island Mr. Gautam Singh, Adv. and Mr. Rudreshwar

Singh, Adv. for State of Bihar and BSPCB

Mr. V.K. Shukla and Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Advs.

for State of MP.

Mr. Pradeep Misra & Mr. Daleep Dhyani Advs. for

UPPCB.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. for State of Punjab. Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv. alongwith

Mr. Naresh Kumar Gaur for State of Manipur & Manipur Pollution Control Board.

Mr. Edward Belho, Adv. alongwith Mr. K. Luikang Michael and Ms. Elix Gangmei Adv. for State of Nagaland.

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. for State of Haryana

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy alongwith Mr. Prashant Kumar Tyagi, Adv. for State of Telengana.



Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. alongwith Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO for Delhi Pollution Control Committee GNCTD

Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv. for Himachal Pradesh Pollution Control Board.

Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv. for State of Tamil, TNPCB.

Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv. for State of Jharkhand.

Mr. Devraj Ashok for State of Karnataka.

Mr. Aniruddh Joshi, Adv. for Respondent No.1 in Original Application No. 318/13.

Remarks Item No. 09 & 10 We most regretfully note that under the Judge May 30, three months' time was granted to various Pollu	
	ıtion
2017	
Boards to make appointment of its Chairmen and Mer	nber
Secretaries in compliance of it. But none of the B	oard
have taken effective steps, much less to say, r	nade
appointments in accordance with the Judgment/R	ıles.
The period of three months had elapsed in November 2	2016
and further period of 6 months has already gone by	but
no results have come, as of now. We do not expect	the
State Government not to take action on the orders of	the
Tribunal and to flout the directions issued by	it,
particularly in relation to posts of such sensitivity	and
responsibility, as the entire environmental status of	the
State would depend on the functioning of the S	State
Pollution Control Board, wherein the Chairman	and
Member Secretary are the head of the organization.	The
concept of ad-hocism has been criticized in the judge	nent
at great length and only qualified person with fixed te	nure
are required to be appointed. This has not been	lone
despite grant of time and opportunities repeatedly. It	has
been brought to our Notice that some of the States	have
approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court of In	ıdia.
However, no Stay has been granted by the Hon'ble Cou	ırt.
We hereby issue show cause notice to all	the

Chairmen of the respective Boards who have not been Item No. 09 & 10 appointed in consonance with the Judgment of the May 30, Tribunal and or the rules having been framed in the terms 2017 thereof. They shall show cause as to why they should not SS be asked to cease functioning as Chairman of the respective Boards. Notices be also issued to the Chief Secretaries of the States. The Learned counsels appearing for their respective Boards shall file reply within one week from today. List these matters, for hearing on this question, on 07th June, 2017. CP (Swatanter Kumar) ..,JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore) ..,EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan)

(Dr. Ajay A Deshpande)